

# Development Plan Document (DPD)

## Submission Stage Representation Form

[Please copy and complete a separate form for each issue you wish to comment on]

[Please read the attached guidance notes carefully before completing this response form]

Ref:

(For official use only)

Name of the Development Plan Document (DPD) to which this representation relates:  
(eg. Core Strategy, Area Action Plan, Site-Specific Allocations, Development Control Policies)

Hebburn Town Centre Area Action Plan

### 1. Personal Details \*

*\* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title and Name boxes in column 1 below, but complete the full contact details of the agent in column 2.*

Title	Mr	
First Name	Paul	
Last Name	Winch	
Job Title (where relevant)	Co-ordinator	
Organisation (where relevant)	Tyne Crossings Alliance	
Address - line 1	81 North Drive	
- line 2	Hebburn	
- line 3	Tyne and Wear	
- line 4		
Postcode	NE31 1EW	
Telephone Number	(0191) 483 5619	
Fax Number (where relevant)		
E-mail Address (where relevant)	stability@blueumbrella.net	

### 2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

3. Did you raise the matter that is the subject of your representation with the Local Planning Authority earlier in the process of the preparation of the Development Plan Document, ie. before it was submitted for Examination?

No  Yes - at initial Issues and alternative Options stage\*  Yes - at first 'Preferred Options' draft stage\*

If 'No', please briefly explain why you did not do so:

4.(a) Do you consider the Development Plan Document is:

Sound<sup>1</sup> (ie. you support the DPD)

Unsound (ie. you consider that the DPD should be changed)

<sup>1</sup> - 'Sound' may be considered in this context within its ordinary meaning of "fit for purpose", "showing good judgement" and "able to be trusted" and within the context of fulfilling the expectations of legislation.

4 (h) If you consider the Development Plan Document is **Soundness Test no.** (see Annex)

7

*Please note: You should complete a separate response form for each Test of Soundness that you consider the Development Plan Document fails.*

5. To which part of the Development Plan Document does your representation relate?

Paragraph no.

Policy no.

Proposals Map

6. Please give details of what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Development Plan Document 'sound', having regard to the Test of Soundness you have identified at Question 4(b) above. You will need to say why this change will make the Development Plan Document 'sound'. Please be as precise as possible.

Increasing traffic by permitting (indeed seeking) a "major new town centre supermarket" (p8) is incompatible with paragraphs A – F and stated Council and Government policy on minimising traffic growth and impact.

The massive and full car park at Morrison's, Jarrow, illustrates the wasted resource by way of priceless town centre land, grotty impact, and traffic pollution arising from car-based retailing.

To contemplate developing roads so that major retailers can compete for car-based customers – inherent in 3.3 – is foolish and would reduce the quality of Hebburn life especially for those living and walking/cycling on Victoria Road. Why not leave an existing "traffic calming measure" in place instead of spending millions to remove one, natural, traffic restraint, simply to have to create chicanes, speed humps, or whatever which motorists will regard as frivolous and an unnecessary annoyance?

(This comment also concerns implementing the existing law that vehicles shall be parked on the road rather than pavements, so "enforcing" traffic calming, while permitting pedestrians especially those with pushchairs and children to use the pavement).

(Continue on a separate sheet / expand box if necessary)

*Please note: Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change. There will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Planning Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.*

7. Can your representation seeking a change be considered by written representations, or do you consider it necessary to participate in person at the oral part of the Independent Examination?

Written representations

Participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination, please briefly outline why you consider this to be necessary:

The issues go right to the heart of what I regard as flawed Council "regeneration" and transport thinking.

*Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to use to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination*

---

Paul Winch, for Tyne Crossings Alliance

5<sup>th</sup> September 2007